

11 June 2007

I would like to make known my support for the merger between Sirius Satellite Radio and XM Satellite Radio. I am an XM subscriber, and it has been an enormous lift to my quality of life because I spend so much time traveling through rural territory where I used to be forced to choose between a few commercial-saturated stations or religious programming. Now, thanks to satellite radio, I can listen to a broad array of music, talk, and sports. I'm concerned that, without a merger, one or both companies could eventually fail—leaving me to settle with inferior broadcast radio. I'm convinced the economies of scale brought by the merger will ultimately provide better choices at the most reasonable rates. As for competition, satellite radio is just one method of delivering entertainment in a much broader industry. The National Association of Broadcasters has made the biggest case for the competition between satellite and terrestrial radio through its excited opposition to the deal. It's been said a million times, but satellite radio is just one content delivery method in an industry crowded with other delivery methods—iPods, over-the-air radio, television, cell phones, computers, PDAs, CDs, etc. The only reason the NAB is so strongly against the merger is because it will *increase* competition. That's the only reason. They're not opposing it for the greater good—they have an agenda, of course. A 3<sup>rd</sup> Grader understands that. They are trying to protect their profits, and know that satellite radio is competing for the same customers they are wooing. Allow the merger. Please.

Eric Miller  
1508 Madison St.  
Bellevue, NE 68005